

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,050	12/12/2003	Benjamin Atkin	51291/JEJ/D359	2484	
23363	7590 09/12/2006		EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			WILSON, JOHN J		
PO BOX 706 PASADENA,	8 CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			3732	3732	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/735,050	ATKIN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		John J. Wilson	3732		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2006.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>					
Disposition of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application fa) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination for the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the seathers declaration in which the factories are shown to the seathers declaration in which the factories are shown to be seathers declaration in which the factories are shown to be seathers declaration in which the factories are shown to be seathers as a shown to be seathers as a shown to be seathers.	even from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rahman et al (6716028). Rahman shows a hand piece 82, Figs. 11A-11D, for a transducer 14, a body 82b rotatably receiving a transducer, rotator head 80a engaging the transducer for rotation, means 80b for rotatably coupling the body 82b to the rotator head 80a. All of the actual claimed structure being shown, to call 80a a head is merely terminology, and as such, is not given patentable weight.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 13, 14, 16-19 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rahman et al (6716028) in view of Novak (6012922). Rahman

Art Unit: 3732

shows a hand piece 82, Figs. 11A-11D, for a transducer 14, a body 82b rotatably receiving a transducer, rotator head 80a that is coupled to the body 82b by fingers 80f so that the rotator head 80a along with the transducer insert will rotate with respect to the body 82b. The shown coupling means of Rahman is not in the form of a ring. Novak teaches a rotatably connected rotator head 14 on body 15 and shows using a retainer ring 18, Figs. 2 and 5, to enable the relative rotation. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rahman to include using a ring coupling means as shown by Novak in order to make use of known alternatives in the art for allowing elements to rotate with respect to each other. As to claim 2, to use a metal ring is an obvious matter of choice in known materials used of coupling rings to the skilled artisan. As to claims 3 and 4, the location of the groove is an obvious matter of choice in the reversal of the location of known elements to one of ordinary skill in the art. As to claims 13 and 14, see column 1, line 33, of Rahman. As to claim 17, see coil, column 2, line 45 of Rahman. As to claim 18, see electric and fluid source, column 1, lines 25-30, of Rahman. As to claims 21 and 22, see nickel plates, column 1, line 42, of Rahman. As to claim 24, the rotation of the insert inherently applies a force to 80a, and as such, turning requires a force to the rotator head.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rahman et al (6716028) in view of Novak (6012922) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Coss et al (5655906). The above combination does not show using a plurality of grooves, claim 5, and slots, claim 6. Coss shows a plurality of grooves and

Art Unit: 3732

slots as shown on the body 10 in Fig. 1. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include grooves and slots as shown by Coss in order to improve the grip. That these structures may be used to mount a lock and hand grip is merely intended use, all the actual structure being shown, the intended use with inferentially claimed elements is not given patentable weight.

Claims 7-10, 20 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rahman et al (6716028) in view of Novak (6012922) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Carmona et al (3654502). As to claim 7, Rahman teaches use of a coil is known, however, does not specifically show a coil in the embodiments, and as to claim 8, does not show a coil mounted on a bobbin, and as to claim 9, does not show a sealing O-ring, and as to claim 10, does not show a cavity through the bobbin for fluid. Carmona teaches a coil 36, bobbin 16, O-ring 30 and fluid 66 within the bobbin. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include the structures shown by Carmona in order to make use of known ways in the art to better mount a coil and provide fluid flow.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rahman et al (6716028) in view of Novak (6012922) and Carmona et al (3654502) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Paschke et al (5395240). The above combination does not show a connection member that uses a connection plug pin. Paschke teaches using a plug pin, Figs. 1-3 and elements 27-29 in Fig. 5. It would be

Art Unit: 3732

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include a coupling including pin connecters as shown by Paschke in order to releasably connect the hand tool to electric and fluid sources.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The above rejections include a newly applied interpretation of Rahman which is held to meet the claim language as applied.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Wilson whose telephone number is 571-272-4722). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez, can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,050

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

John J. Wilson

Page 6

jjw August 25, 2006